

in the future disasters that we know are likely to occur. These initiatives, rather modest in this bill, will translate into millions of dollars of savings.

There are, however, a couple of concerns that I have about the legislation. Both House and Senate bills require non-profit entities to seek loans from the Small Business Administration as a precondition of assistance. But, certain non-profits are singled out not for what they do but for who they are. Libraries, museums and shelters should not be discriminated against in this fashion. It is not a fatal flaw in the bill, not one that would cause me to oppose it, but one that I hope can be revisited and fixed in the future.

Second, the bill authorizes funding only for the next three fiscal years. I believe that oversight of this program will demonstrate its value, and that there will be a continuing need to work with communities for many years. I look forward to working to extend this program.

The Senate has removed language requiring the establishment of a President's Council on Domestic Terrorism and Preparedness within the Executive Office of the President. The gentlewoman has again devoted tireless hours and very deep personal conviction to this legislation. This is not something that she has undertaken as a gesture, but as a matter of very deep conviction. I have been greatly persuaded by her activism, by her profound self-assurance based on case studies and careful analysis of the situation and the failure of the existing system to perform as intended.

I support the establishment of the President's council. I worked to mediate between the subcommittee and the Office of Management and Budget and White House staff. I think under the circumstances this is a sound, reasonable, responsible initiative. As the gentlewoman has said to me, in years to come after she enters retirement, she does not want to look back on a tragedy and say, "That could have been prevented. I could have done something while I was in Congress." She tried her hardest to do something, Mr. Speaker. But, the Senate has refused to acquiesce. That is unfortunate, but the unwillingness of the Senate causes us to accept the agreement on mitigation and address terrorism preparedness at a later date.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

I would like to comment that I understand there has been some byplay among our staffs, perhaps, on an issue of whether or not we are going to move to the next bill which is strongly supported by the gentleman from West Virginia (Mr. RAHALL). It has been suggested to me that there might be some tactics on both our parts to delay this. That is not my style. I am quite prepared once we dispose of this to move ahead with the gentleman from West Virginia's legislation because it is the right thing to do. If we have anything else we need to fight out, we can do that later.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mrs. FOWLER. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of this important legislation.

In 1992, Hurricane Andrew slammed into the coast of Florida resulting in total losses ex-

ceeding \$30 billion. Andrew is the costliest major disaster in U.S. history.

Of course, Floridians are not the only ones at risk from natural disasters. In the past 10 years every State and territory in the Union has been adversely impacted by a natural disaster.

This Nation simply can't afford to keep exposing our people and their property to these disasters.

In the past, Congress has focused on assisting the victims of disasters after the damage is done: Since 1989, Congress has spent over \$25 billion on disaster relief.

Our emphasis needs to change. H.R. 707 significantly increases Federal assistance for projects that prevent damage before hurricanes and other disasters strike.

This money can be used for such projects as strengthening schools, providing shelters for evacuees, and hurricane-proofing homes. If used in the right way, such spending should decrease overall Federal spending by reducing the disaster relief needed after a disaster hits.

With more emphasis on mitigation we will have less to fear from natural disasters and reduce the threat to our families and property.

I want to thank Mr. BOEHLERT for all his work on this bill as well as the ranking member of the subcommittee Mr. TRAFICANT.

I also want to thank Chairman SHUSTER and the ranking minority member of the full committee, Mr. OBERSTAR, for their support and encouragement.

While I am very pleased to support final passage of H.R. 707, I am disappointed that the Senate failed to retain a section of the bill establishing a President's council to coordinate domestic terrorism preparedness programs.

There is clearly more work that needs to be done to prepare and protect the public from man-caused disasters. I have no doubt that the next Congress will continue to grapple with this important issue.

Regardless of this omission, this is still an excellent bill and I urge my colleagues to support H.R. 707.

I want to thank my subcommittee staff: Marcus Peacock, Charlie Ziegler, Miki White, Denise Beshaw, and Dan Shulman for their dedication and hard work throughout the year in getting this legislation passed.

Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of H.R. 707, the Disaster Mitigation Amendments Act of 2000. The amendments establish a predisaster mitigation grant program, make it easier for states to administer the Federal program, and enhance state efforts to prepare for and respond to disasters. Before I continue, I would like to thank Chairman SHUSTER and Ranking Democratic Member OBERSTAR for their assistance on this legislation. I also would like to commend and thank Chairman FOWLER for her leadership, her hard work and her willingness to listen to all the stakeholders, the Administration and Members, in an effort to make this the best legislation it could be. She indeed has done an admirable job.

The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 is about being prepared for natural disasters. By establishing and funding a pre-disaster mitigation program, we can lessen the human and financial losses associated with natural disasters such as hurricanes, floods, and earthquakes.

This bill also simplifies the Federal-State relationship in providing Federal disaster assist-

ance. It encourages States to be more active in providing assistance, and to assume responsibility for administering benefits where the state chooses to do so. It also protects the taxpayer by encouraging those communities suffering from repetitive losses to undertake efforts to reduce those losses. But it also protects the local community by establishing a 3-year limitation on FEMA's ability to review an assistance grant for compliance with law and regulation.

It is my understanding that there were some Members of the other body that had some concerns about the part of the bill that contained the Council for Terrorism Preparedness. I am sorry that we were not able to work out those concerns. We missed a tremendous opportunity to help organize and prepare for any future terrorist attacks against our nation. I am disappointed about that. I hope we will have a chance in the future to pass a bill on terrorism preparedness.

Mr. Speaker, disaster mitigation is such an extremely important and urgent issue for our country. I support the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000, and urge my colleagues to support it.

Mr. OBERSTAR. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. SHUSTER) that the House suspend the rules and concur in the Senate amendment to the House amendment to the Senate amendment to the bill, H.R. 707.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the Senate amendment to the House amendment to the Senate amendment was concurred in.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

#### GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks on H.R. 707, the bill just passed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Pennsylvania?

There was no objection.

#### MOTOR CARRIER FUEL COST EQUITY ACT OF 2000

Mr. SHUSTER. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 4441) to amend title 49, United States Code, to provide a mandatory fuel surcharge for transportation provided by certain motor carriers, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 4441

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

#### SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

*This Act may be cited as the "Motor Carrier Fuel Cost Equity Act of 2000".*

#### SEC. 2. MANDATORY FUEL SURCHARGE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 137 of title 49, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following: